

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

DAVID MELVIN and J.L., individually and
on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

23ANDME, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

Case No. 24-cv-00487-EMC

**[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING
23ANDME, INC.’S ADMINISTRATIVE
MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO
RESPOND TO COMPLAINT UNTIL
AFTER CASE MANAGEMENT
CONFERENCE**

1 Having reviewed Defendant 23andMe, Inc.'s ("23andMe") Administrative Motion to
2 continue its deadline to respond to Plaintiffs David Melvin and J.L.'s Complaint, Plaintiffs'
3 Response in Opposition to Defendant's Administrative Motion, and having considered all
4 papers and arguments submitted,

5 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

6 23andMe's Administrative Motion to Continue Deadline to Respond to Complaint Until
7 After Case Management Conference is DENIED.

8 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

9
10 DATED: _____

11
12 _____
13 Hon. Edward M. Chen
14 United States District Court Judge
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28